
Contents

Section 1 Software Process Improvement – General Principles and Methods

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1 Lessons Learned and Recommendations from Two Large Norwegian SPI Programmes | 3 |
| 1.1 Introduction..... | 3 |
| 1.2 The Norwegian SPI Programmes | 5 |
| 1.3 Lessons Learned..... | 9 |
| 1.4 Operational Recommendations | 13 |
| 1.5 Conclusion and Future Work | 15 |
| 2 Improvisation in Small Software Organizations | 19 |
| 2.1 Introduction..... | 19 |
| 2.2 Alice and the Croquet Game | 20 |
| 2.3 Improvisation | 20 |
| 2.4 Improvisation in Software Development | 21 |
| 2.5 Implications for SPI..... | 24 |
| 3 An Empirical Investigation of the Key Factors for Success in Software Process Improvement | 29 |
| 3.1 Introduction..... | 29 |
| 3.2 Conceptual Model and Hypotheses | 30 |
| 3.3 Research Method..... | 35 |
| 3.4 Results | 40 |
| 3.5 Discussion..... | 43 |
| 3.6 Conclusion | 49 |
| 4 Evidence-Based Software Engineering for Practitioners | 59 |
| 4.1 Introduction..... | 59 |
| 4.2 The Aim and Methodology of EBSE..... | 60 |
| 4.3 Step 1: Ask an Answerable Question | 61 |
| 4.4 Step 2: Find the Best Evidence..... | 63 |
| 4.5 Step 3: Critically Appraise the Evidence | 65 |
| 4.6 Step 4: Apply the Evidence..... | 65 |
| 4.7 Step 5: Evaluate Performance | 67 |
| 4.8 Discussion..... | 69 |

| | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 5 | Challenges and Recommendations when Increasing the Realism of Controlled Software Engineering Experiments..... | 73 |
| 5.1 | Introduction..... | 73 |
| 5.2 | Representative Subjects..... | 74 |
| 5.3 | Realistic Tasks..... | 78 |
| 5.4 | Realistic Environment..... | 81 |
| 5.5 | Supporting the Logistics of Controlled Experiments..... | 83 |
| 5.6 | Summary..... | 85 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Section 2 Knowledge Management for SPI | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|--|--|

| | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 6 | Postmortem Reviews: Purpose and Approaches in Software Engineering..... | 93 |
| 6.1 | Introduction..... | 93 |
| 6.2 | Postmortem Reviews..... | 97 |
| 6.3 | Case: Postmortem in a Medium-Sized Company..... | 102 |
| 6.4 | Summary and Discussion..... | 105 |
| 6.5 | Conclusion and Future Work..... | 110 |

| | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 7 | Value-Based Knowledge Management – the Contribution of Postmortem Reviews and Process Workshops..... | 115 |
| 7.1 | Introduction..... | 115 |
| 7.2 | Managing Knowledge..... | 116 |
| 7.3 | Case: Knowledge Products from a Postmortem Review and a Process Workshop..... | 119 |
| 7.4 | Discussion..... | 124 |
| 7.5 | Conclusion and Further Work..... | 126 |

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 8 | A Dynamic Model of Software Engineering Knowledge Creation..... | 129 |
| 8.1 | Introduction..... | 129 |
| 8.2 | Organizational Context..... | 131 |
| 8.3 | Learning Cycle..... | 133 |
| 8.4 | Organizational Performance..... | 143 |
| 8.5 | Facilitating Factors..... | 146 |
| 8.6 | Chapter Summary..... | 148 |

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 9 | An Empirical Study of an Informal Knowledge Repository in a Medium-Sized Software Consulting Company..... | 153 |
| 9.1 | Introduction..... | 153 |
| 9.2 | Knowledge Management Tools..... | 154 |
| 9.3 | Research Method..... | 157 |
| 9.4 | The Computas Software Consulting Company..... | 158 |
| 9.5 | The Knowledge Repository: Well of Experience..... | 159 |
| 9.6 | Discussion..... | 164 |
| 9.7 | Conclusion and Further Work..... | 165 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 10 An Empirical Study on the Utility of Formal Routines to Transfer Knowledge and Experience | 169 |
| 10.1 Introduction..... | 169 |
| 10.2 Related Work..... | 170 |
| 10.3 Context, Questions and Method..... | 173 |
| 10.4 Results..... | 175 |
| 10.5 Discussion..... | 179 |
| 10.6 Conclusion..... | 183 |

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Section 3 Process Modelling and Electronic Process Guides | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 11 Tailoring RUP to a Defined Project Type: A Case Study | 189 |
| 11.1 Introduction..... | 189 |
| 11.2 Theoretical Context..... | 190 |
| 11.3 Research Method..... | 192 |
| 11.4 Research Context..... | 193 |
| 11.5 Diagnosing..... | 194 |
| 11.6 Action Planning..... | 195 |
| 11.7 Action Taking..... | 196 |
| 11.8 Evaluating..... | 199 |
| 11.9 Learning..... | 200 |
| 11.10 Conclusion..... | 201 |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 12 A Workshop-Oriented Approach for Defining Electronic Process Guides – A Case Study | 203 |
| 12.1 Introduction..... | 203 |
| 12.2 Method..... | 206 |
| 12.3 Defining Processes in a Medium-Size Company..... | 207 |
| 12.4 Discussion..... | 214 |
| 12.5 Conclusion and Further Work..... | 215 |

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 13 Empirical Investigation on Factors Affecting Software Developer Acceptance and Utilization of Electronic Process Guides | 219 |
| 13.1 Introduction..... | 219 |
| 13.2 Conceptual Model and Hypotheses..... | 221 |
| 13.3 Research Method..... | 223 |
| 13.4 Results..... | 229 |
| 13.5 Discussion..... | 231 |
| 13.6 Conclusion..... | 234 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 14 Six Theses on Software Process Research | 239 |
| 14.1 Introduction..... | 239 |
| 14.2 Theses..... | 240 |
| 14.3 Looking Ahead..... | 243 |

Section 4 Estimation Methods

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 15 A Review of Studies on Expert Estimation of Software | |
| Development Effort | 247 |
| 15.1 Introduction..... | 247 |
| 15.2 Frequency of Use of Expert Estimation..... | 249 |
| 15.3 Performance of Expert Estimation in Comparison with Estimation Models | 251 |
| 15.4 Reduce Situational and Human Biases | 262 |
| 15.5 Support the Estimation Process | 270 |
| 15.6 Provide Estimation Feedback and Training Opportunities..... | 277 |
| 15.7 Conclusions and Further Research | 281 |
| 16 Reuse of Software Development Experiences – A Case Study | 295 |
| 16.1 Introduction..... | 295 |
| 16.2 The Approach..... | 297 |
| 16.3 The Results | 298 |
| 16.4 Related Work | 304 |
| 16.5 Conclusions..... | 305 |
| 17 Effort Estimation of Use Cases for Incremental Large-Scale Software | |
| Development | 309 |
| 17.1 Introduction..... | 309 |
| 17.2 The Underlying Estimation Methods..... | 311 |
| 17.3 The Company Context..... | 314 |
| 17.4 Motivation of the Study and Research Questions..... | 315 |
| 17.5 The Adapted UCP Estimation Method | 315 |
| 17.6 Estimation Results..... | 319 |
| 17.7 Discussion of the Results | 321 |
| 17.8 Answers to Research Questions | 323 |
| 17.9 Related Work | 323 |
| 17.10 Conclusion | 324 |

Section 5 Empirical Studies in OO and Component-based Systems

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 18 Experiences from Introducing UML-based Development | |
| in a Large Safety-Critical Project | 329 |
| 18.1 Introduction..... | 329 |
| 18.2 UML-based Development in the ABB Project..... | 331 |
| 18.3 Research Method..... | 335 |
| 18.4 Improvements in the Development Process | 338 |
| 18.5 Challenges in the Project | 341 |
| 18.6 Scope and Validity of the Results..... | 351 |
| 18.7 Conclusions and Future Work..... | 354 |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 19 An Empirical Study on Off-the-Shelf Component Usage in Industrial Projects | 361 |
| 19.1 Introduction..... | 361 |
| 19.2 Previous studies on OTS Component | 362 |
| 19.3 Research Design..... | 363 |
| 19.4 Research Results | 366 |
| 19.5 Discussion..... | 374 |
| 19.6 Conclusion and Future Work | 375 |
| | |
| 20 Evaluating the Effect of a Delegated versus Centralized Control Style on the Maintainability of Object-Oriented Software | 379 |
| 20.1 Introduction..... | 380 |
| 20.2 Delegated Versus Centralized Control in Object-Oriented Designs ... | 381 |
| 20.3 Related Empirical Studies..... | 385 |
| 20.4 Design of Experiment..... | 387 |
| 20.5 Results | 393 |
| 20.6 Threats to Validity..... | 398 |
| 20.7 Conclusions..... | 402 |
| | |
| Author List | 407 |
| | |
| Keyword index | 411 |