Table of contents

Acknowledgements	1
Abstract	5
Introduction	7
Preliminary part: Universalism in maritime law as a point of reference for lawmakers: Myth and reality	17
1. From the point of view of the flag State	
2. From the point of view of the coastal State	
3. From the point of view of the port State	
Part I: Overall position of the EU and the U.S. towards universal maritime safety standards: Common standards, but	29
1. The EU "Common Maritime Transport Policy" on maritime safety and	
marine environment protection: Uniform, enhanced and anticipated rules	
1.1. The context	
1.2. The regulatory framework: Brief overview	33
1.3. In quest of unilateralism: The EU maritime legislature's approach	20
and method	39
The quest for optimum safety, the quest for limits to the traditional	
standard-setting process	43
2.1. The quest for optimum safety in U.S. waters	
2.2. The regulatory framework: Brief overview	46
2.3. The quest for unilateralism: The U.S. legislature's approach	
and method	49
Part II: The search for common trends: A substantive law approach	
in the light of prevention, preparedness/response and liability	
1. Prevention	
1.1. Design and construction of oil tankers	56
1.1.1. The backdrop of the adoption of provisions on the phasing-in	50
of double hulls	
1.1.2. Substantive provisions of the withdrawar's schedule waitz	
1.1.J. DCIUgativiis	UJ

			Assessment	
	1.2.		rements on the human element	70
		1.2.1.	Protecting the seafarer as the most vulnerable part of the chain:	
			Search for antagonism or synergy through the ILO's vision	
			1.2.1.1. ILO Convention 147	
			1.2.1.2. The new consolidated convention on maritime work	75
		1.2.2.	Training, certification and watchkeeping of seafarers	77
			1.2.2.1. The general framework of international regulations	
			on seafarers' standards of training, certification and	
			watchkeeping	78
			1.2.2.2. The STCW requirements in domestic legal orders	79
		1.2.3.	The International Safety Management (ISM) Code:	
			The managerial/operational approach to maritime safety	
			via the human element	82
			1.2.3.1. The ISM Code in the EU and the U.S.	83
		1.2.4.	Assessment	84
	1.3.		tate Control (PSC)	
			Is there a right of access of foreign vessels to ports?	
			General aspects of PSC in the EU and the U.S.:	
			Purpose and scope	89
		1.3.3.	The material aspects of PSC	94
		1.3.4.	Sanctions and appeals	99
			Assessment	
2.	Pren		ss and the ability to respond: The need for promptness and	
			ss put to the test	103
			ng past incidents	
			Noticeable incidents in European waters	
		2.1.2.	Noticeable incidents in U.S. waters	105
	2.2.		gal framework: Building on the the International Convention	
			Pollution, Preparedness, Response, and Cooperation (OPRC)	
			regional cooperation	107
		2.2.1.	The international instruments	108
		2.2.2.	The EU: Softening discrepancies between Member States,	
			reinforcing capacities and cordination	110
			2.2.2.1. The tool of harmonization	
			2.2.2.2. The institutional framework in support of	
			preparedness and response: The European	
			Commission and the European Maritime Safety	
			Agency acting jointly and separately	111
			2.2.2.3. Regional cooperation in Europe	
			2.2.2.4. National implementation: Discrepancies and	
			challenges	115
		2.2.3	. Being proactive in the U.S.	
			2.2.3.1. Key concepts and legal instruments	
			2.2.3.2. Brief overview of laws and policies on preparedness	
			and response: From CWA to SARA	118

2.3. Assessment	
3. Liability issues for marine pollution: The paradigm of oil	2
3.1. The international regime on limitation of liability for maritime claims:	
A consolidated, yet greatly challenged point of reference for the	
regime in Europe12	3
3.1.1. The IMO regulatory framework or the silent agreement	
on strict, yet limited, liability12	4
3.1.2. Putting the international regime on limitation of liability	
to the test-possible influences from the American system12	6
3.1.3. The EU's attempts to "go further" and the ensuing pressure	
on the international regime	0
3.1.4 Criminal liability for marine pollution: Not novel, yet,	
far from being consolidated at the EC level13	2
3.2. Limitation of liability for oil pollution in the U.S.: Brief overview 13-	4
3.2.1. Preexisting law: FWPCA, CWA and CERCLA	4
3.2.2. Deterrence, compensation and punishment or the	
Oil Pollution Act 199013	6
3.2.3. Strict criminal liability	8
3.2.4. OPA 1990 and the 1992 IMO amendments: Myth and reality 13	9
3.2.5. Should the U.S. accede to the international regime	
on limitation of liability?139	9
·	
Concluding remarks - Recommendations14	1
1. The old debate is not dead: Freedom of the seas vs. coastal States' rights 14	
2. The "problem of synergy and antagonism" as part of the "solution"14.	
3. Viewing the potential of underestimated directions by legislators: Market-	
oriented incentives in support of qualitative shipping	3
Bibliography14	7
Indov. 15	n
Index15	,